



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	·FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/866,806	05/29/2001	Sean F. Walsh	18459-003	6150	
28286	7590 10/28/2003	•	EXAMINER		
IP PATENTS			CHIANG, JACK		
FAEGRE & B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOULDER, (	NTH STREET CO 80302	•	2642	THE EN NOWIDER	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. 09/866806 Applicant(s) 5.F. Walsh			
Office Action Summary	Evaminor	. Ching	Group Art Unit	<i>#</i> 5
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	et beneath the	correspondence addre	ss-
Period for Response		2011	1 1	
Period for Response A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	3 - MON	ITH(S) FROM THE 3	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by d</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response within the set or extended period for respons</li></ul>	rs, a response within the sta lefault, expire SIX (6) MON	atutory minimum o THS from the mail	f thirty (30) days will be consi ing date of this communication	idered timely.
Status	_			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	5-29-01			·
☐ This action is FINAL.				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>			to the merits is closed	in <sub>,</sub>
Disposition of Claims				
& Claim(s)	is/are	e pending in the applicat	ion.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are	e allowed.	
☑ Claim(s)  - 10		is/arc	e rejected.	
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)			subject to restriction or el	ection
•			irement.	
Application Papers	in Bridge DTO 040			
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	•	ad □ disapprov	red	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection, med on is/are objection	• •		rea.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	•			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li></ul>	of the priority document	s have been		
<b>5</b> , ,			•	
*Certified copies not received:				
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)		□ Interview Sur	nmary, PTO-413	
*Certified copies not received:	No(s)		mmary, PTO-413 ormal Patent Application,	PTO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_5

Application/Control Number: 09/866,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

## **CLAIMS**

## **Double Patenting Rejection**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6246766. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claimed phone supporting shelf having top side, concave slanted underside, and the ridges are all found in the patent above.

## **Art Rejection**

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 09/866,806

'Art Unit: 2642

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hill (US 5678793).

Regarding claim 1, Hill shows:

An elongated shelf (22) having a top side (32b) as a support surface and an underside (32a) with a concave slanted surface; and

The appliance (60) is generic for the phones.

Regarding claim 6, Hill shows:

An elongated shelf (22) having a top side (32b) as a support surface and an underside (32a);

A pair of ridges (34a, 34b) adjacent one end of the shelf and protruding from the underside and spaced sufficient distance apart to allow a clip (62) between the ridges; The appliance (60) is generic for the phones.

Regarding claim 10, Hill shows:

An elongated shelf (22) having a notch (42, see also notch in fig. 6) with opposite side portions of the shelf forming lateral edges of the notch (see 42, see also notch in fig. 6); A stem (supporting 44a-b); and

The appliance (60) is generic for the phones.

\*Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claims 2-5, 7-9, Hill shows:

The length of the shelf (22) can be two times the width, or in any dimensions without change the basic concept of the Hill reference;

The ridges (34a, 34b);

The notch (42, see notch in fig. 6);

The stem (supporting 44a-b); and

The concave slanted surface (32a).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2642